

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SYLVESTER WATKINS,

Defendant.

No. CR 05-0029

**ORDER REGARDING
MAGISTRATE'S REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING DEFENDANT'S
GUILTY PLEA**

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

On April 21, 2005, a three-count Superseding Indictment was returned against the defendant Sylvester Watkins. On July 24, 2006, the defendant appeared before United States Magistrate Judge John A. Jarvey and entered a plea of guilty to Count 1 of the Superseding Indictment. On July 24, 2006, Judge Jarvey filed a Report and Recommendation in which he recommended that defendant's guilty plea be accepted. On July 24, 2006, Defendant filed a Waiver of Objections to Report and Recommendation. The court, therefore, undertakes the necessary review of Judge Jarvey's recommendation to accept defendant's plea in this case.

II. ANALYSIS

Pursuant to statute, this court's standard of review for a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation is as follows:

A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made. A judge of the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the

findings or recommendations made by the magistrate [judge].

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Similarly, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b) provides for review of a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation on dispositive motions and prisoner petitions, where objections are made, as follows:


The district judge to whom the case is assigned shall make a de novo determination upon the record, or after additional evidence, of any portion of the magistrate judge's disposition to which specific written objection has been made in accordance with this rule. The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended decision, receive further evidence, or recommit the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.

FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b).

In this case, no objections have been filed, and it appears to the court upon review of Judge Jarvey's findings and conclusions, that there is no ground to reject or modify them. Therefore, the court **accepts** Judge Jarvey's Report and Recommendation of July 24, 2006, and accepts defendant's plea of guilty in this case to Count 1 of the Superseding Indictment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 27th day of July, 2006.



LINDA R. READE
JUDGE, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA